

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,843	01/23/2006	Tatsuo Hoshino	21404 US C038435/0185948	2022
7590 01/26/2007 Stephen M Haracz			EXAMINER	
Bryan Cave			CHOWDHURY, IQBAL HOSSAIN	
1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10104			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1652	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/528,843	HOSHINO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Iqbal H. Chowdhury, Ph.D.	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice drider Ex parte Quayle, 1900 C.D. 11, 400 C.C. 210.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
o _{/ES} c.a(c) are subject to restriction and a stocker requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application is a 371 of PCT/EP03/10295.

Claims 1-11 are pending.

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group, I claim(s) 1-8, drawn to a process for producing actinol from ketoisophorone, which comprises contacting ketoisophorone with a recombinant microorganism or mutant thereof, wherein the microorganism comprises levodione reductase gene (cellular method).

Group, II claim(s) 1-8, drawn to a process for producing actinol from ketoisophorone, which comprises contacting ketoisophorone with cell free extract of a recombinant microorganism wherein the microorganism comprises levodione reductase gene (in vitro method).

Group, III claim(s) 9-11, drawn to a microorganism or a mutant thereof comprising levodione reductase gene.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I - III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The microorganism comprising a gene encoding a polypeptide of levodione reductase of Group III, and the method for producing actinol by using

Art Unit: 1652

the microorganism comprising a gene encoding a polypeptide of levodione reductase of Group I or cell extract of recombinant microorganism of Group II, they all relate to polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide levodione reductase. However, this shared technical feature is not a "special technical feature" as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the art. Yoshisumi et al. teach a DNA encoding a levodione reductase gene (Corynebacterium aquaticum lvr gene for levodione reductase, complete cds. GenBank Accession No. AB042262, created 10/12/2001) which is known in the art. Thus, a DNA encoding a levodione reductase protein does not make contribution over the prior art.

- 3. Furthermore, the products of Groups III do not share a special common structural and functional feature while, the methods of Groups I and II do not use the same reagents or produce the same results. In addition, the methods of Groups I and II do not comprise all of the methods for making or using the products of Groups II. Accordingly, Groups I-III are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.
- 4. The methods of Groups I and II do not have unity of invention with each other as each methods comprises unrelated steps, use different products and/or produce different effects.
- 37 CFR 1.475 does not provide for multiple products and/or methods within a single application. Therefore, inventions of Group I III lack unity of invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found

Art Unit: 1652

allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Iqbal Chowdhury whose telephone number is 571-272-8137. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,843

Art Unit: 1652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 703-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Iqbal Chowdhury, PhD, Patent Examiner Art Unit 1652 (Recombinant Enzymes) US Patent and Trademark Office Rm. REM 2B69, Mail Box. 2C70 Ph. (571)-272-8137, Fax. (571)-273-8137

IC

SHERID**an Swope, Ph.D.** Primary examiner